600.14A Contribution Verdict Form--Comparative Negligence Not an Issue--Verdict for Plaintiff

Verdi	We, the jury, find for		and	against	the fol	llowing	defenda	nt or de	fendants:	
Defendant #1 Defendant #2		Yes	No —							
		Yes	No —							
	We further find the fo	ollowing	g:							
proxin	First: We find that that the result of the occur.				_		•		-	f] as a
List ea	ach category of damage	s, e.g.								
The di	sfigurement resulting f	rom the	e injury		\$					
	other damages categori 30.05.01, 30.07, 30.08 able				\$					
PLAINTIFF'S TOTAL DAMAGES					\$					
	Second: As to the cor	ıtributio	on clain	ns broug	tht by [name o	f third-p	arty plai	intiff], we	e find:
Against Third-party defendant #1			t #1		Yes	No —				
Agains	st Third-party de	efendan	t #2		Yes	No —				
-	Third: Assuming than s] [or] [entities] [what tage of legal responsib	o] [tha	t] prox	imately	caused	l [plain				
a)	Defendant #1's name			%	, D					
b)	Defendant #2's name			%	, D					
c)	Third-party defendant #1's name				,)					
d)	Third-party defendant	t #2's na	ame	%	, D					
TOTAL				100%						

(Instructions to Jury: If you find that any party listed on the verdict form was not legally responsible in a way that proximately caused plaintiff's injury, you should enter zero (0)% as to that party.)

[Signature lines]

Verdict Form and Notes adopted January 2010.

Notes on Use

This verdict form is appropriate to use in cases where there are contribution claims involving one or more third-party complaints and where the issue of contributory fault will not be decided by the jury. If there is an issue of contributory fault, use IPI 600.14. This instruction serves as a basis to determine all fact issues relating to liability of the defendants, third-party defendants, joint and several liability and contribution.

B45.03A2 is similar to this verdict form, except it lacks the paragraph "Second" providing for findings for or against third-party defendants. B45.03A2 is intended for use in cases involving contribution claims among defendants tried concurrently with the plaintiff's claim.

If there is no issue of contributory negligence, the Committee recommends against including non-parties on the verdict form. Compare *Ready v. United/Goedecke Services, Inc.*, 232 Ill.2d 369, 385 (2008) and *Jones v. DHR Cambridge Homes, Inc.*, 381 Ill.App.3d 18, 31-32, 885 N.E.2d 330 (1st Dist. 2008) *with Bofman v. Material Serv. Corp.*, 125 Ill.App.3d 1053 (1st Dist. 1984) and *Smith v. Central Ill. Pub. Serv. Co.*, 176 Ill.App.3d 482 (4th Dist. 1988).